arXiv:0802.0529v3 [stat.ME] 6 Apr 2009

April 6, 2009

The distribution of the maximum of a first order moving average: the discrete case

by

Christopher S. Withers
Applied Mathematics Group
Industrial Research Limited
Lower Hutt, NEW ZEALAND

Saralees Nadarajah
School of Mathematics
University of Manchester
Manchester M60 1QD, UK

Abstract: We give the distribution of M_n, the maximum of a sequence of n observations from a moving average of order 1. Solutions are first given in terms of repeated integrals and then for the case where the underlying independent random variables are discrete. A solution appropriate for large n takes the form

$$Prob(M_n \leq x) \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^{I} \beta_{jx} \ \nu_{jx}^n \ \approx \ B_x \ r_{1x}^n$$

where $\{\nu_{jx}\}$ are the eigenvalues of a certain matrix, r_{1x} is the maximum magnitude of the eigenvalues, and I depends on the number of possible values of the underlying random variables. The eigenvalues do not depend on x only on its range.

1 Introduction and summary

We give the distribution of the maximum of a moving average of order 1 for discrete random variables.

Section 2 summarises results for any moving average of order 1 (discrete or not) given in Withers and Nadarajah (2009). Two forms are given for the distribution of the maximum. Only one of these is appropriate for large n. This form can be viewed as a large deviation expansion. It assumes that a related parameter v_n can be written as a weighted sum of nth powers.

Section 3 gives 3 sets of situation of increasing generality where this last assumption holds.

Let $\{e_i\}$ be independent and identically distributed random variables from some distribution F on R. Consider the moving average of order 1,

$$X_i = e_i + \rho e_{i-1}$$

where $\rho \neq 0$. So the observations take the values $\{x_i + \rho x_j\}$. In Withers and Nadarajah (2009) we gave expressions for the distribution of the maximum

$$M_n = \max_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

in terms of repeated integrals. This was obtained via the recurrence relationship

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(y) &= I(\rho < 0)G_{n-1}(\infty)F(y) + \mathcal{K}G_{n-1}(y) && (1.1)\\ \text{where } G_n(y) &= Prob(M_n \leq x,\ e_n \leq y), && (1.2) \end{aligned}$$

$I(A) = 1$ or 0 for A true or false, and $\mathcal{K}$ is the integral operator

$$\mathcal{K}r(y) = \text{sign}(\rho) \int^y r((x-w)/\rho)dF(w). \tag{1.3}$$

For this to work at $n = 1$, define

$$M_0 = -\infty \text{ so that } G_0(y) = F(y).$$

Note that *dependence on x is suppressed.*

Our purpose is to find

$$u_n = Prob(M_n \leq x) = G_n(\infty),\ n \geq 0.$$

Section 2 summarises and extends relevant results in Withers and Nadarajah (2009).

In Section 3 we consider the case where e_1 is discrete and derive a solution of the form given in the abstract.

Section 4 gives a solution to G_n of (1.2). For any integrable function r, set $\int r = \int r(y)dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r(y)dy,\ \int^x r = \int^x r(y)dy = \int_{-\infty}^x r(y)dy.$

2 Solutions using repeated integrals and sums of powers.

Set

$$v_n = [\mathcal{K}^n F(y)]_{y=\infty}. \tag{2.1}$$

For example

$$v_0 = 1,\ v_1 = -\int F(z)dF(x-\rho z) = -I(\rho < 0) + \int F(x-\rho z)dF(z). \tag{2.2}$$

The case $\rho > 0$. (1.1) has solution

$$G_n(y) = \mathcal{K}^n F(y),\ n \geq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

so that

$$u_n = v_n,\ n \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

For example $u_0 = 1$. (The marginal distribution of X_1 is $u_1 = v_1$ given by (2.2).)

The case $\rho < 0$. By (1.1) for $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n+1}(y) &= u_n F(y) + \mathcal{K}G_n(y) \\ &= a_n(y) \otimes u_n + a_{n+1}(y) && (2.5)\\ \text{where } a_i(y) &= \mathcal{K}^i F(y),\ a_n \otimes b_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j b_{n-j}. && (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

Putting $y=\infty$, u_n is given by the recurrence equation

$$u_0 = v_0 = 1,\ u_{n+1} = v_{n+1} + u_n \otimes v_n,\ n \geq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

(The marginal distribution of X_1 is $u_1 = 1 + v_1$ of (2.2).) The solution of (2.7) is

$$u_n = \hat{B}_{n+1}(w),\ n \geq 0 \text{ where } w_n = v_{n-1} \tag{2.8}$$

and $\hat{B}_n(w)$ is the *complete ordinary Bell polynomial*, a function of $(w_1, \cdots, w_n)$ generated by

$$(1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n t^n)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{B}_n(w) t^n.$$

So $\{u_{n-1}\}$ have generating function

$$tU(t) = (1 - tV(t))^{-1} - 1 \text{ where } U(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n t^n,\ V(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n t^n. \tag{2.9}$$

For example since $v_0 = 1$, reading from a table gives

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 &= 1,\ u_1 = v_1 + 1 \\
u_2 &= v_2 + 2v_1 + 1, \\
u_3 &= v_3 + (2v_2 + v_1^2) + 3v_1 + 1, \\
u_4 &= v_4 + (2v_3 + 2v_1 v_2) + (3v_2 + 3v_1^2) + 4v_1 + 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

For u_n up to $n = 9$ and more details on computing $\hat{B}_n(w)$, see Withers and Nadarajah (2009). Note that $1 \geq u_n = Prob(M_n \leq x) \geq u_{n+1} \geq 0$ so that

$$u_n = 0 \Rightarrow u_{n+1} = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

The solution (2.8) gives no indication of the behaviour of u_n for large n. In Section 3 we shall see that we can usually write v_n as a weighted sum of powers, say

$$v_n = \sum_{j=1}^{I} \beta_j \nu_j^{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq n_0 \tag{2.12}$$

where $1 \leq I \leq \infty,\ n_0 \geq 0$. We call this the *weighted-sum-of-powers assumption.* In this case u_n generally has the form

$$u_n = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \gamma_j \delta_j^n \text{ for } n \geq \max(0, 2n_0 - 1) \text{ where } J \leq I' = I + n_0, \tag{2.13}$$

and $\{\delta_j\}$ are the roots of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{I} \beta_k \nu_k^{n_0 - 1}/(\delta - \nu_k) = p_{n_0}(\delta) \text{ where } p_{n+1}(\delta) = \delta^{n+1} - v_n \otimes \delta^n : \tag{2.14}$$
$$p_0(\delta) = 1,\ p_1(\delta) = \delta - 1,\ p_2(\delta) = \delta^2 - \delta - v_1,\ p_3(\delta) = \delta^3 - \delta^2 - \delta v_1 - v_2,\ \cdots$$

So (2.14) can be written as a polynomial in δ of degree J where $J \leq I'$.
Case 1: Assume that these J roots are all distinct.

Having found $\{\delta_j\}$, $\{\gamma_j\}$ are the roots of

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} A_{jn_0}(\nu)\gamma_j = q_{n_0}(\nu) \text{ for } \nu = \nu_1, \cdots, \nu_I \tag{2.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where} \quad & A_{jn}(\nu) = \delta_j^n/(\delta_j - \nu),\ q_{n+1}(\nu) = \nu^{n+1} + u_n \otimes \delta^n : \\ & q_0(\nu) = 1,\ q_1(\nu) = \nu + 1,\ q_2(\nu) = \nu^2 + \nu + u_1,\ q_3(\nu) = \nu^3 + \nu^2 + u_1\nu + u_2,\ \cdots \end{aligned}$$

(2.15) can be written

$$A_{n_0}\gamma = Q_{n_0} \text{ where } (A_n)_{kj} = A_{jn}(\nu_k),\ Q_n = (Q_{n1}, \cdots, Q_{nI'})',\ Q_{nk} = q_n(\nu_k). \tag{2.16}$$

So if $J = I$, a solution is

$$\gamma = A_{n_0}^{-1} Q_{n_0}. \tag{2.17}$$

(If $I = \infty$, numerical solutions can be found by truncating the infinite matrix A_n and infinite vectors (Q_n, γ) to $N \times N$ matrix and N-vectors, then increasing N until the desired precision is reached.) The proof, which is by substitution, assumes that $\{\delta_j, \nu_j\}$ are all distinct. The proof relies on the fact that if $\sum_{j=1}^{J} a_j r_j^n = 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq J$ and $r_1, \cdots, r_J$ are distinct, then $a_1 = \cdots = a_J = 0$, since $\det(r_j^n : 1 \leq n, j \leq J) \neq 0$. (2.13) extends a corresponding result in Withers and Nadarajah (2009a). (If $J < I$, a solution is given by dropping $I - J$ rows of (2.16). If $J > I$, there are not enough equations for a solution, and the 2nd method below needs to be used.)

The values of u_n for $n < 2n_0 - 1$ can be found from (2.7) or (2.8) or the extension of (2.10).

Behaviour for large n. If (2.12) holds then

$$v_n \approx B r_1^n \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ where } B = \sum_j \{\beta_j \nu_j^{-1} e^{i\theta_j n} : |\nu_j| = r_1\},$$

$r_1 = \max_{j=1}^{I} |\nu_j|$, $\nu_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$. A similar result holds for u_n of (2.13). Withers and Nadarajah (2009b) give a 2nd method of solution based on (2.9), that applies even when the weights β_j in (2.12) are polynomials in n.

3 The discrete case.

Suppose that e_1 is a discrete random variable, say

$$e_1 = x_i \text{ with probability } p_i > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, P \text{ where } 1 \leq P \leq \infty$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^{P} p_i = 1$. We do not need to assume that $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots$. (The method extends in an obvious way for $i = \cdots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ where $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} p_i = 1$.)

So the observations $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ take their values from the lattice $\{x_i + \rho x_j,\ 1 \leq i, j \leq P\}$. Set $I(A) = 1$ or 0 for A true or false. The main task of this section is to give three increasingly general situations where the weighted-sum-of-powers assumption (2.12) holds. For any function H, set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{iH} &= \operatorname{sign}(\rho)\ p_i\ H((x - x_i)/\rho),\ A_H = (A_{1H}, \cdots, A_{PH})', \\ q_i(y) &= I(x_i \leq y),\ q(y) = (q_1(y), \cdots, q_P(y))', \\ Q_{ij} &= \operatorname{sign}(\rho)\ q_i((x - x_j)/\rho)\ p_j,\ Q = (Q_{ij} : 1 \leq\ i, j \leq P). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

(Recall that dependence on x is suppressed.) For example

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{12}\ \mathrm{sign}(\rho) &= I(x_1 \leq (x-x_2)/\rho) \\ &= 1 \iff \rho > 0, x \geq x_2 + \rho x_1 \text{ or } \rho < 0, x \leq x_2 + \rho x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{K}H(y) = A_H' q(y),\ \mathcal{K}q(y) = Qq(y),\ \mathcal{K}^n H(y) = A_H' Q^{n-1} q(y) \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

So $v_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$, v_n of (2.1) is given by

$$v_n = A_F' Q^{n-1}\mathbf{1},\ \text{where } \mathbf{1}' = (1, \cdots, 1). \tag{3.2}$$

In terms of the *backward operator* B defined by

$$By_n = y_{n-1},$$

the recurrence relation (2.7) can be written for $n \geq 0$,

$$u_{n+1} = v_{n+1} + \mathcal{C}_n u_n \text{ where } \mathcal{C}_n = I_P + A_F'\mathcal{B}_n\mathbf{1},\ \mathcal{B}_n = (I_P - Q^n B^n)/(I_P - QB), \tag{3.3}$$

where I_P is the $P \times P$ identity matrix. So $\mathcal{B}_0 = 0$.

Alternatively, from (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= 1 + A_F'\mathbf{1}, \\ u_2 &= 1 + A_F'(2I + Q)\mathbf{1}, \\ u_3 &= 1 + (A_F'\mathbf{1})^2 + A_F'(3I + 2Q + Q^2)\mathbf{1}, \\ u_4 &= 1 + 3(A_F'\mathbf{1})^2 + 2(A_F'\mathbf{1})(A_F'Q\mathbf{1}) + A_F'(4I + 3Q + 2Q^2 + Q^3)\mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Neither solution is satisfactory for large n.

The idempotent case. We shall see that Q frequently has the form

$$Q = \theta J \text{ where } \theta \text{ is scalar and } J^2 = J. \tag{3.4}$$

That is, J is idempotent with eigenvalues $0, 1$. By (3.2)

$$v_1 = A_F'\mathbf{1},\ v_n = \theta^{n-1} d \text{ for } n \geq 2 \text{ where } d = A_F' J\mathbf{1}. \tag{3.5}$$

This is just (2.12) with $I = 1,\ n_0 = 2,\ \nu_1 = \theta,\ \beta_1 = d/\theta$. By (2.13) a solution is

$$u_n = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_j \delta_j^n,\ n \geq 3$$

where $\{\delta_j,\ j = 1, 2, 3\}$ are the roots of

$$\delta^3 - (\theta + 1)\delta^2 + (\theta - v_1)\delta + (v_1 - d)\theta = 0$$

and for A_2, Q_2 of (2.16),

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3)' = A_2^{-1} Q_2.$$

An explicit solution to a cubic is given in Section 3.8.2 p17 of Abramowitz and Stegun (1964).

Example 3.1 *Suppose that e_1 takes only two values, say 0 and 1. Then the observations take the values $0, 1, \rho, 1+\rho$ and*

$$Q = sign(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} I(0 \le x/\rho)p_1, & I(0 \le (x-1)/\rho)p_2 \\ I(1 \le x/\rho)p_1, & I(1 \le (x-1)/\rho)p_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The possible values of Q are $\pm Q_i,\ 1 \le i \le 8$, where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} p_1p_2 \\ p_1p_2 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1p_2 \\ 0p_2 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1p_2 \\ 00 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0p_2 \\ 00 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0p_2 \\ 0p_2 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_6 = \begin{pmatrix} p_10 \\ 00 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_7 = \begin{pmatrix} p_10 \\ p_10 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_8 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1p_2 \\ p_10 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 3, 5, 6, 7$ and $Q = Q_i$, (3.4) holds with $\theta = \theta_i,\ J_i = Q_i/\theta_i,\ \theta_1 = 1,\ \theta_3 = p_1,\ \theta_5 = p_2,\ \theta_6 = \theta_7 = p_1$. Also (3.4) holds with $\theta = 0$ for Q_4.

There are four cases of ρ to consider.

The case $\rho \le -1$: *The observations take the values $\rho < 1+\rho < 0 < 1$. Q changes at these values of x.*
As x increases through $x \le \rho, \rho < x \le 1+\rho, 1+\rho < x \le 0, 0 < x \le 1$ and $1 < x$, Q changes from $-Q_1$ to $-Q_2$ to $-Q_3$ to $-Q_4$ to 0.

The case $-1 < \rho < 0$: *The the observations take the values $\rho < 0 < 1+\rho < 1$. As x increases through $x \le \rho, \rho < x \le 0,\ 0 < x \le 1+\rho, 1+\rho < x \le 1$ and $1 < x$, Q changes from $-Q_1$ to $-Q_2$ to $-Q_5$ to $-Q_4$ to 0.*

The case $0 < \rho < 1$: *the observations take the values $0 < \rho < 1 < 1+\rho$. As x increases through $x < 0, 0 \le x < \rho,\ \rho \le x < 1, 1 \le x < 1+\rho, 1+\rho \le x$, Q changes from 0 to Q_6 to Q_7 to Q_8 to Q_1.*

The case $1 \le \rho$: *The observations take the values $0 < 1 < \rho < 1+\rho$. As x increases through $x \le 0, 0 \le x < 1,\ 1 \le x < \rho,\ \rho \le x < 1+\rho, 1+\rho \le x$, Q changes from 0 to Q_6 to Q_3 to Q_8 to Q_1.*

Consider the case $0 < \rho < 1$. *So A_{iF}/p_i jumps from 0 to p_1 to 1 at $x_i + \rho x_1 = x_i$ and $x_i + \rho x_2 = x_i + \rho$. Then there are 5 ranges of x to consider.*

$x < 0 \Rightarrow Q = 0$, *(3.4) holds with $J = 0, \theta = 0, A_F = 0, d = 0, u_n = v_n = 0$ for $n \ge 1$.*

$0 \le x < \rho \Rightarrow$ *(3.4) holds with $Q = Q_6,\ \theta = p_1, J = \binom{10}{00}, A_F = p_1 p, d = p_1^2, u_n = v_n = p_1^{n+1}$ for $n \ge 1$.*

$\rho \le x < 1 \Rightarrow$ *(3.4) holds with $Q = Q_7,\ \theta = p_1, J = \binom{10}{10}, A_F = p_1\binom{1}{0}, d = p_1^2, u_n = v_n = p_1^n$ for $n \ge 1$.*

$1 \le x < 1+\rho \Rightarrow Q = Q_8,\ A_F = p_1\binom{1}{p_2}$, *but (3.4) does not hold.*

$1+\rho \le x \Rightarrow$ *(3.4) holds with $\theta = 1, Q = J = Q_1, A_F = p, d = 1,\ u_n = v_n = 1$ for $n \ge 0$.*

Now suppose that $\rho < 0$. Then for $i = 1, 3, 5, 6, 7,\ Q = -Q_i = \theta_i J_i$ where $\theta_1 = -1,\ \theta_3 = -p_1,\ \theta_5 = -p_2,\ \theta_6 = \theta_7 = -p_1$. Again, this deals with all cases except for Q_2, Q_4, Q_8.

Also $Q_4^2 = 0$ so that for $Q = \pm Q_4,\ v_n = 0$ for $n \ge 2$. For $1+\rho < x \le 1, A_F = (0, -p_1p_2)', v_1 = -p_1p_2, V(t) = 1 - p_1p_2t$. So by (2.9), $tU(t) = D^{-1} - 1$ where $D = 1 - tV(t) = (1-p_1t)(1-p_2t)$, giving

$$u_n = (p_1^{n+2} - p_2^{n+2})/(p_1 - p_2)$$

for $p_1 \ne 1/2$. So for $p_1 = 1/2,\ u_n = (n/2+1)2^{-n}$. This illustrates our second and most general method of solution, the use of (2.9).

Finally, the cases Q_2 and Q_8 can be dealt with by the following method.

Our third solution is in terms of the eigenvalues and left and right eigenvectors of Q, say $\{\nu_i,\ l_i,\ r_i : 1 \leq i \leq P\}$.

The case of diagonal Jordan form (for example distinct eigenvalues). In this case the $P \times P$ matrix Q has Jordan canonical form

$$Q = R\Lambda R^{-1} = R\Lambda L' = \sum_{i=1}^{P} \nu_i r_i l'_i,\ RL' = I_P, \Lambda = diag(\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_P),\ L = (l_1, \cdots, l_P),\ R = (r_1, \cdots, r_P).$$

Then by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} Q^n &= L'\Lambda^n R = \sum_{i=1}^{P} \nu_i^n r_i l'_i \text{ for } n \geq 0, \\ \mathcal{K}^n H(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{P} b_{iH}(y)\nu_i^{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ where } b_{iH}(y) = (A'_H r_i)\ (l'_i q(y)), \qquad (3.6) \\ v_n &= \sum_{i=1}^{P} \beta_i \nu_i^{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ where } \beta_i = b_{iF}(\infty) = (A'_F r_i)\ (l'_i \mathbf{1}). \qquad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

So (2.12) holds with $I = P,\ n_0 = 1$. So for $n \geq 1$, if $\rho > 0$, then $u_n = v_n$ of (3.7), and by (2.13), if $\rho < 0$, then

$$u_n = \sum_{j=1}^{P+1} \gamma_j \delta_j^n$$

where $\{\delta_j\}$ are the roots of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{P} \beta_k \nu_k / (\delta - \nu_k) = \delta - 1$$

and γ is given by (2.17). So this method requires computing the left and right eigenvectors of Q for its non-zero eigenvalues. In rare cases Q is symmetric so that $L = R$.

One can show that this method agrees with the idempotent method when are both applicable.

Example 3.2 *Let us reconsider the previous example.*

Firstly, suppose that $0 < \rho < 1$. *We consider 3 cases.*

The case $0 \leq x < \rho$: *Then* $Q = Q_6$ *has eigenvalues* $p_1, 0$. *For* $\nu = p_1,\ l = r = \binom{1}{0}$. *So for* $n \geq 1,\ Q^n = p_1^n l l'$ *in agreement with the idempotent method.*

The case $\rho \leq x < 1$: *Then* $Q = Q_7$ *has eigenvalues* $0, p_1$. *For* $\nu = p_1$, *we can take* $l = \binom{1}{0},\ r = \binom{1}{1}$. *So for* $n \geq 1,\ Q^n = lr'p_1^n$ *in agreement with the idempotent method.*

The case $1 \leq x < 1 + \rho$: *Then* $Q = Q_8$ *has eigenvalues satisfying* $\nu^2 - p_1\nu - p_1p_2 = 0$ *so that* $2\nu_i = p_1 \pm (p_1^2 + 4p_1p_2)^{1/2}$. *Take* $r_i = \binom{\nu_i}{p_1},\ l_i = \binom{\nu_i}{p_2}/c_i$ *where* $c_i = p_1(\nu_i + 2p_2)$. *Using* $\nu_1 + \nu_2 = p_1,\ \nu_1\nu_2 = -p_1p_2$, *we obtain*

$$Q^n = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \nu_i^n \begin{pmatrix} p_1(\nu_i + p_2) & p_2\nu_i \\ p_1\nu_i & p_1p_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also $A'_F = p_1(1, p_2)$. *So one obtains*

$$v_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \nu_i^{n-1}\beta_i \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ where } \beta_i = a_i/(\nu_i + 2p_2),\ a_i = (1 + p_1p_2)\nu_i + p_1p_2(1 + p_2).$$

Secondly, suppose that $-1 < \rho < x \leq 0$. *Then* $A'_F = -(p_1^2, p_2)$. *Suppose that* $p_1 \neq 1/2$. *Then* $Q = -Q_2,\ Q_2 = R\Lambda L'$ *where* $\Lambda = diag(p_1, p_2)$,

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_2 \\ 0 & p_2 - p_1 \end{pmatrix},\ L' = R^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -p_2(p_2 - p_1))^{-1} \\ 0 & (p_2 - p_1)^{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ (-Q)^n = R\Lambda^n L' = \begin{pmatrix} p_1^n & p_2a_n \\ 0 & p_2^n \end{pmatrix}$$

where $a_n = (p_1^n - p_2^n)/(p_1 - p_2)$. *So by (3.2),* $v_n = (-1)^n a_{n+2}$. *So* $1 + tU(t) = (1 - tV(t))^{-1} = (1 - \nu_1 t)(1 - \nu_2 t) = 1 + t + p_1p_2t^2$ *giving* $u_1 = p_1p_2,\ u_n = 0$ *for* $n \geq 2$.
If $p_1 = 1/2$, *then by a limiting argument,* $v_n = (-2)^{-n}(n + 2)/2$ *for* $n \geq 0$, $u_1 = 1/4,\ u_n = 0$ *for* $n \geq 2$.

Example 3.3 *Suppose that* e_1 *takes the 3 values 0, 1, 2. So the observations take the values* $0, 1, 2, \rho, 1 + \rho, 2 + \rho, 2\rho, 1 + 2\rho, 2 + 2\rho$ *and*

$$Q = sign(\rho) \begin{pmatrix} I(0 \leq x/\rho)p_1, & I(0 \leq (x-1)/\rho)p_2 & I(0 \leq (x-2)/\rho)p_3 \\ I(1 \leq x/\rho)p_1, & I(1 \leq (x-1)/\rho)p_2 & I(1 \leq (x-2)/\rho)p_3 \\ I(2 \leq x/\rho)p_1, & I(2 \leq (x-1)/\rho)p_2 & I(2 \leq (x-2)/\rho)p_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that $0 < \rho < 1/2$. *Then* Q *changes each time* x *crosses one of the nine values* $0 < \rho < 2\rho < 1 < 1 + \rho < 1 + 2\rho < 2 < 2 + \rho < 2 + 2\rho$. *So we need to consider ten cases, six of them idempotent. The possible values of* Q *are* $\pm Q_i, 0 \leq i \leq 9$ *where* $Q_0 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= p_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_2 = 2p_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}/2,\ Q_3 = p_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ p_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_5 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_6 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_8 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ Q_9 = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Also Q_0 *and* $J_i = Q_i/\theta_i$ *are idempotent for* $i = 1, 2, 3, 6, 9$ *where*

$$\theta_1 = \theta_3 = p_1,\ \theta_2 = 2p_1,\ \theta_6 = p_1 + p_2,\ \theta_9 = 1.$$

Case 1: $x < 0 \Rightarrow Q = 0,\ A_F = 0,\ v_n = 0$ *for* $n \geq 1$.

Case 2: $0 \leq x < \rho \Rightarrow Q = Q_1,\ A'_F = (p_1^2, 0, 0),\ d = p_1^{;}\ v_n = p_1^{n+1}$ *for* $n \geq 1$.

Case 3: $\rho \leq x < 2\rho \Rightarrow Q = Q_2,\ A'_F = (p_1(p_1 + p_2), 0, 0),\ d = p_1(p_1 + p_2)/2$,

$$v_1 = p_1(p_1 + p_2),\ v_n = (2p_1)^{n-1}d \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Case 4: $2\rho \leq x < 1 \Rightarrow Q = Q_3,\ A'_F = (p_1, 0, 0),\ v_n = p_1^n$ *for* $n \geq 1$.

Case 5: $1 \le x < 1+\rho \Rightarrow Q = Q_4$. *$Q_4$ has distinct eigenvalues*

$$0, \nu_2 = (p_1+\varepsilon)/2, \nu_3 = (p_1-\varepsilon)/2 \textit{ where } \varepsilon = (p_1^2+4p_1p_2)^{1/2}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} A_F' &= (p_1, p_1p_2, 0), \\ 2\varepsilon\ r_2' &= (p_1+\varepsilon, 2p_1, 2p_1) = B_\varepsilon \textit{ say},\ 2p_1\ l_2' = (2p_1, -p_1+\varepsilon, 0) = C_\varepsilon \textit{ say}, \\ 2\varepsilon\ r_3' &= -B_{-\varepsilon},\ 2p_1\ l_3' = C_{-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

So by (3.7), for $n \ge 1,\ v_n = \sum_{i=2}^3 \beta_i \nu_i^{n-1}$ *where*

$$\beta_2 = p_1 b_\varepsilon c_\varepsilon/(4\varepsilon),\ \beta_3 = -p_1 b_{-\varepsilon} c_{-\varepsilon}/(4\varepsilon) \textit{ where } b_\varepsilon = p_1+2p_1p_2+\varepsilon,\ c_\varepsilon = 2p_1 - p_1p_2 + p_2\varepsilon.$$

Case 6: $1+\rho \le x < 1+2\rho \Rightarrow Q = Q_5$. *This is the only example here where the general Jordan form is needed.*

Case 7: $1+2\rho \le x < 2 \Rightarrow Q = Q_6 = \mathbf{1}l' = \theta_6 J_6$ *say, where* $l' = (p_1, p_2, 0), \theta_6 = p_1+p_2,\ J_6^2 = J_6$. *Also* $A_F' = (p_1, p_2, 0)$. *So by (3.5),* $v_n = (p_1+p_2)^n$ *for* $n \ge 1$.

Case 8: $2 \le x < 2+\rho \Rightarrow Q = Q_7$. *$Q_7$ has distinct eigenvalues*

$$0, \nu_2 = (p_1+p_2+\varepsilon)/2, \nu_3 = (p_1+p_2-\varepsilon)/2 \textit{ where } \varepsilon = ((p_1+p_2)^2+4p_1p_3)^{1/2}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} A_F' &= (p_1, p_2, p_1p_3), \\ 2p_1\varepsilon\ r_2' &= (a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon) \textit{ where } a_\varepsilon = p_1p_2 + 2p_1p_3 + p_2^2 - p_2\varepsilon,\ b_\varepsilon = p_1(p_1+p_2-\varepsilon), \\ 2p_1p_3\ l_2' &= (p_1c_\varepsilon, p_2c_\varepsilon, 2p_1p_3) \textit{ where } c_\varepsilon = p_1+p_2+\varepsilon, \\ 2p_1\varepsilon\ r_3' &= (-a_{-\varepsilon}, b_{-\varepsilon}, b_{-\varepsilon}),\ 2p_1p_3\ l_3' = (p_1c_{-\varepsilon},\ p_2c_{-\varepsilon},\ 2p_1p_3), \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.7) for $n \ge 1,\ v_n = \sum_{i=2}^3 \beta_i \nu_i^{n-1}$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= B_{2\varepsilon} C_\varepsilon/(4p_1^2p_3\varepsilon),\ \beta_3 = B_{3-\varepsilon} C_{-\varepsilon}/(4p_1^2p_3\varepsilon), \\ \textit{where } B_{2\varepsilon} &= p_1a_\varepsilon + (p_2+p_1p_3)b_\varepsilon,\ B_{3-\varepsilon} = -p_1a_{-\varepsilon} + (p_2+p_1p_3)b_{-\varepsilon}, \\ C_\varepsilon &= (p_1+p_2)c_\varepsilon + 2p_1p_3. \end{aligned}$$

Case 9: $2+\rho \le x < 2+2\rho \Rightarrow Q = Q_8$. *$Q_8$ has distinct eigenvalues*

$$0, \nu_2 = (p_1+p_2+\varepsilon)/2,\ \nu_3 = (p_1+p_2-\varepsilon)/2 \textit{ where } \varepsilon = \delta^{1/2},\ \delta = (p_1+p_2)(p_1+p_2+4p_3 = 1+3p_3).$$

By (3.7) for $n \ge 1,\ v_n = \sum_{i=2}^3 \beta_i \nu_i^{n-1}$ *where*

$$\begin{aligned} A_F' &= (p_1, p_2, p_3(p_1+p_2)), \\ 2(p_1+p_2)\ \varepsilon r_2' &= (p_1(p_1+p_2+\varepsilon),\ p_1(p_1+p_2+\varepsilon),\ p_1) = B_\varepsilon \textit{ say}, \\ 2p_1\ l_2' &= (2p_1,\ 2p_2,\ -p_1-p_2+\varepsilon) = C_\varepsilon \textit{ say}, \\ 2(p_1+p_2)\varepsilon\ r_3' &= -B_{-\varepsilon},\ 2p_1\ l_3' = C_{-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 10: $2+2\rho \le x \Rightarrow Q = Q_9$. *As noted, (3.4 holds with* $\theta_9 = 1$. *Also* $Q_9\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1},\ A_F' = (0, 0, p_3), d = p_3$. *So by (3.5),* $v_n = p_3$ *for* $n \ge 1$.

This leaves only Q_5 to deal. It will be dealt with by the following method.

Our third and general solution is in terms of the eigenvalues and left and right *generalized* eigenvectors of Q, say $\{\nu_i,\ l_i,\ r_i:\ 1 \le i \le P\}$.

The general Jordan form.

The general Jordan canonical form for a $q \times q$ matrix Q is

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= R\Lambda R^{-1} = R\Lambda L' = \sum_{i=1}^{r} R_i J_{m_i}(\nu_i) L_i', \qquad (3.8)\\ \text{where } RL' &= I,\ L = (L_1, \cdots, L_r),\ R = (R_1, \cdots, R_r),\ \Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(J_{m_1}(\nu_1), \cdots, J_{m_r}(\nu_r)), \end{aligned}$$

and L_i, R_i are $q \times m_i$,

$$J_m(\nu) = \nu I_m + U_m,$$

and U_m is the $m \times m$ matrix with zeros everywhere except for ones on the diagonal above the leading diagonal: $(U_m)_{ij} = \delta_{i,j-1}$:

$$J_1(\nu) = \nu,\ J_2(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu 1 \\ 0\nu \end{pmatrix},\ J_3(\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \nu \end{pmatrix}, \cdots$$

$J_m(\nu)$ has only one right eigenvector. The ith block in $QR = R\Lambda$ is $QR_i = R_i J_{m_i}(\nu_i)$. Taking its jth column gives

$$Qr_{ij} = \nu_i r_{ij} + r_{i,j+1}, j = 1, \cdots, m_i,\ \text{ where } r_{i,m_i+1} = \mathbf{0}$$

and r_{ij} is a q-vector. So one first computes the right eigenvector r_{i,m_i} and then the *generalized* eigenvectors $r_{i,m_i-1}, \cdots, r_{i1}$ recursively, the Jordan chain. For $n \ge 0$, the nth power of Q is

$$\begin{aligned} J_m(\nu)^n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m-1)} \binom{n}{k} \nu^{n-k} U_m^k, \qquad (3.9)\\ Q^n &= R\Lambda^n R^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} R_i\ J_{m_i}(\nu_i)^n\ L_i' = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m_i-1)} \binom{n}{k} \nu_i^{n-k} W_{ik} \qquad (3.10)\\ \text{where } W_{ik} &= R_i U_{m_i}^k L_i'. \end{aligned}$$

where U_m^k is the $m \times m$ matrix with zeros everywhere except for ones on the kth super-diagonal: $(U_m^k)_{ij} = \delta_{i,j-k}$. So $U_m^m = 0$. So Q^n is a matrix polynomial in n of degree $m-1$ where $m = \max_{i=1}^r m_i$, and by (3.2),

$$v_n = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m_i)-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \nu_i^{n-1-k} w_{ik} \text{ for } n \ge 1 \text{ where } w_{ik} = A_F' W_{ik}\mathbf{1}. \qquad (3.11)$$

For diagonal Jordan form this reduces to (2.12). This level of generality is not needed if the eigenvalues of the non-diagonal Jordan blocks are zero, since we can rewrite (3.10) and (3.11) as

$$\begin{aligned} Q^n &= \sum_{1 \le i \le r,\ \nu_i \ne 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m_i-1)} \binom{n}{k} \nu_i^{n-k} W_{ik} + \sum_{1 \le i \le r,\ \nu_i = 0} I(n < m_i) W_{in},\ n \ge 0, \qquad (3.12)\\ v_n &= \sum_{1 \le i \le r,\ \nu_i \ne 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m_i)-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \nu_i^{n-1-k} w_{ik} + \sum_{1 \le i \le r,\ \nu_i = 0} I(n \le m_i) w_{i,n-1},\ n \ge 1. (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

The solution for u_n is a weighted sum of nth powers where the weights are constants or polynomials in n: see Withers and Nadarajah (2009b) for details.

Example 3.4 *This continues Case 6 of Example 3.3. Set* $q_i = p_i/(p_1+p_2)$*. By Appendix A, (3.8) holds for* $Q = Q_5$ *with* $r = 2, m_1 = 2,\ m_2 = 1, \nu_1 = 0,\ \nu_2 = p_1 + p_2$,

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_2 \\ 0 & -q_1 \\ q_2 & -q_1^2 \end{pmatrix},\ R_2 = q_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ q_1 \end{pmatrix},\ L_1' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p_1/p_2 & 1+p_1/p_2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ L_2' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p_2/p_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also $J_2(0)^n = 0$ *for* $n \geq 2$*. So*

$$Q^n = (p_1+p_2)^n R_2 L_2' = (p_1+p_2)^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 & p_2 & 0 \\ p_1 q_1 & p_2 q_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Also $A_F' = (p_1, p_2(p_1+p_2), 0)$*. So by (3.2),*

$$v_n = \nu^{n-1} v_1 \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ where } \nu = p_1 + p_2,\ v_1 = p_1 + p_2(p_1+p_2).$$

As noted, this is the only example here where the general Jordan form is needed.
(It was implicit in the 2nd part of Example 3.2 for the case $p_1 = 1/2$*, but was bypassed by the limiting argument.) So* $V(t) = 1 + v_1 t/(1-\nu t),\ 1 - tV(t) = L/(1-\nu t),\ L = (1-t)(1-\nu t) - v_1 t^2 = (1-tt_1)(1-tt_2),\ t_k = (\nu+1 \pm \delta^{1/2})/2,\ \delta = (\nu+1)^2 - 4(\nu - v_1) = p_3^3 + 4v_1,\ 1 + tU(t) = (1-tV(t))^{-1} = (1-\nu t)/L = \sum_{k=1}^2 c_k/(1-tt_k),\ c_k = (1-\nu/t_k)(1-t_{3-k}/t_k)$ *giving for* $\rho < 0$,

$$u_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_k t_k^n,\ n \geq 1.$$

So although $m_1 = 2$*, a sum of powers solution still holds.*

As noted for the diagonal form, Q and its eigenvectors only change value when x crosses one of the possible observation values, or equivalently when ρ crosses one of the set $\{(x-x_j)/x_i,\ 1 \leq i, j \leq P\}$. If x is replaced by x_n, then again Q and its eigenvalues do not depend on n or x_n except through its range.

For more on Jordan forms, see for example `http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jordan_normal_form`

Note 3.1 *The singular values of* $J_m(\nu)$ *needed for its singular value decomposition, SVD, are quite different from its eigenvalues, which are all* ν*. The SVD of* Q *gives an alternative form for its inverse, but is of no use in computing* Q^n*.*

4 A solution for $G_n(y)$.

A solution for $G_n(y) = Prob(M_n \leq x,\ e_n \leq y)$ may be of interest.

If $\rho > 0$ then a solution is given by (2.3):

$$G_n(y) = a_n(y) \text{ where } a_n(y) = \mathcal{K}^n F(y),\ n \geq 1,$$

Suppose that Q of (3.1) has diagonal Jordan form. Then by (3.6),

$$a_n(y) = A'_F Q^{n-1} q(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{P} b_{iF}(y) \nu_i^{n-1} \text{ where } b_{iF}(y) = (A'_F r_i)\ (l'_i q(y)) \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Now suppose that $\rho < 0$. Then a solution is given by (2.5):

$$G_{n+1}(y) = a_n(y) \otimes u_n + a_{n+1}(y),\ n \geq 0.$$

Also by Section 2, (2.12) holds with $n_0 = 1$:

$$v_n = \sum_{j=1}^{I} \beta_j \nu_j^{n-1} \text{ for } n \geq 1 \text{ where } \beta_j = b_{jF}(\infty) = (A'_F r_i)\ (l_i \mathbf{1}),$$

so that by (2.13)

$$u_n = \sum_{j=1}^{I'} \gamma_j \delta_j^n \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Substituting we obtain using (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n+1}(y) &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{P} b_{iF}(y) \nu_i^{n-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{I'} \gamma_j c_j(y) \delta_j^{n+1} \text{ for } n \geq 1 & (4.1) \\ \text{where } c_j(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{P} b_{iF}(y) \nu_i^{-1} (\nu_i - \delta_j)^{-1}. & (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

So its behaviour for large n is determined by the ν_i or δ_j of largest modulus.

A Appendix: a MAPLE program to find the Jordan form.

Here is the MAPLE program used to work out the Jordan form for $Q = Q_5/p_1$ in Example 3.4. It can easily be adapted to other examples. We set $c = p_2/p_1,\ M = L'$.

```
with(LinearAlgebra);
 Q:=Matrix(3,3,[[1,c,0],[1,c,0],[1,0,0]]);
JordanForm(Q);
R:= JordanForm(Q, output='Q') ;
M:=R^(-1);
J:=simplify(M.Q.R);
quit;
```

The last line is just to confirm that $Q = RJM$.

References

[1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I.A. (1964) *Handbook of mathematical functions.* U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series **55**.

[2] Withers, C. S. and Nadarajah, S. N. (2009a) Preprint. The distribution of the maximum of a first order moving average: the continuous case. http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.0523

[3] Withers, C. S. and Nadarajah, S. N. (2009b) Solutions to a convolution problem. Submitted.